## Case 1:16-cr-00506-ALC Document 103 Filed 06/01/18 Page 1 of 19

I5AJDOYP Plea UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK -----x 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 16 Cr. 506 ALC 4 V. 5 LACY DOYLE, 6 Defendant. -----x 7 8 9 May 10, 2018 2:40 p.m. 10 11 12 Before: 13 HON. ANDREW L. CARTER, JR., 14 District Judge 15 16 **APPEARANCES** 17 GEOFFREY S. BERMAN, 18 United States Attorney for the 19 Southern District of New York JARED LENOW, 20 Assistant United States Attorney 21 22 HAFETZ NECHELES, LLP, Attorneys for defendant Doyle 23 BY: FREDERICK PHILLIP HAFETZ, Esq. NOAH SHELANSKI, Esq. 24 Of counsel 25

1	(In open court)
2	(Case called)
3	THE COURT: Please be seated. Good afternoon.
4	My understanding is Ms. Doyle would like to withdraw
5	her previously-entered plea of not guilty and enter a plea of
6	guilty to Count 3 of the indictment, in full satisfaction of
7	the charges in the indictment. Is that correct?
8	MR. HAFETZ: That's correct, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: With the government?
10	MR. LENOW: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Ms. Doyle, I will ask you some questions
12	and require that your answers be under oath, so I'll my
13	wonderful and talented Deputy will administer the oath.
14	(The defendant was duly sworn)
15	THE COURT: Ms. Doyle, how old are you?
16	THE DEFENDANT: 61.
17	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
18	THE DEFENDANT: I have a masters degree.
19	THE COURT: Have you ever been treated for any mental
20	health problems?
21	THE DEFENDANT: No.
22	THE COURT: Are you under the care of a doctor for any
23	reason currently?
24	THE DEFENDANT: No.
25	THE COURT: In the last 24 hours, have you had any

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

medication, pills, drugs or alcoholic beverages?

THE DEFENDANT: No, I have not.

THE COURT: Is your mind clear as you sit here today?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.

THE COURT: I observe for the record that Ms. Doyle appears alert, she has answered the questions appropriately. It seems to me she is competent to proceed.

Let me ask counsel, defense counsel, do you have any doubts about her competence to proceed?

MR. HAFETZ: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: I find that she is competent to proceed, and we will continue. Ms. Doyle, you have a constitutional right to continue to plead not quilty to Count 3.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: If you persist in that right, you have the right to a public, a speedy and public trial by a jury. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: At that trial you would not have to prove that you were innocent. You would be presumed innocent. you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: The burden of proof would be on the government at all times, and the government would be required

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to prove each and every element of the crime charged beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Let's turn to Count 3.

Have you seen a copy of the indictment that has been filed against you in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: Count 3 charges you with subscribing to a false and fraudulent U.S. individual income tax return. understand?

> THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Count 3 charges that on or about April 9th, 2010, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, that you willfully and knowingly made and subscribed a false and fraudulent U.S. individual income tax return, Form 1040, for the 2009 tax year, which return was verified by a written declaration that it was made under the penalties of perjury and was filed with the Internal Revenue Service center.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And which return you did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter, to wit:

You falsely reported that you had no interest in or a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

signature or other authority over a financial account in a foreign country, when in truth and in fact, you knew you did, in fact, have an interest in or a signature or other authority over a financial account in a foreign country, in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206 (1).

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: The government would be required to prove each and every one of those elements to a jury beyond a reasonable doubt. The government would have to prove for Count 3 the following elements:

That you made or caused to be made a federal income tax return for the Year 2009, which you verified to be true.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And that the tax return was false as to a material matter. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: And that you signed the return willfully and knowing it was false. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And that the return contained a written declaration that it was made under the penalty of perjury. Do you understand?

> THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Counsel for the government, for the
2	defense, have I left out any elements of the offense?
3	MR. LENOW: Yes, your Honor. Have you
4	THE COURT: Have I left out any elements?
5	MR. LENOW: Have you listed the elements? The
6	government believes you have correctly summarized the elements
7	of the offense and there is no element left out.
8	THE COURT: Counsel for the defense?
9	MR. HAFETZ: Yes, that's correct, I agree with the
10	government.
11	THE COURT: Ms. Doyle, the government would have to
12	prove each and every one of those elements to a jury beyond a
13	reasonable doubt. Do you understand?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
15	THE COURT: In addition, if you plead guilt, you'll be
16	giving up your right to challenge the venue of the prosecution.
17	"Venue" means that the government needs to bring the
18	case in the judicial district where the crime took place. If
19	you plead guilty, you give up your right to challenge venue.
20	Do you understand?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22	THE COURT: At trial and at every stage of this
23	criminal litigation, you have the right to be represented by an
24	attorney. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1	THE COURT: If you could not afford to hire an
2	attorney, the court would give you an attorney for free. Do
3	you understand?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Counsel, are you retained on this matter?
6	MR. HAFETZ: Yes, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: As I mentioned before, at trial you would
8	be presumed innocent, you would not have to prove that you're
9	innocent. Do you understand?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
11	THE COURT: I mentioned before the government would
12	have to prove each and every one of those elements that we just
13	discussed beyond a reasonable doubt. In order to attempt to
14	prove those elements beyond a reasonable doubt, the government
15	would call witnesses. Do you understand?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Your lawyer could question those
18	witnesses. Do you understand?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Your lawyer could object to evidence that
21	the government sought to introduce against you. Do you
22	understand?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24	THE COURT: You could call witnesses to testify on

your own behalf at trial, and your lawyer would have the

I5AJDOYP

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

subpoena power of the United States to make witnesses come to court for you. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: In addition, you'd have the right to testify on your own behalf. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: At the same time, you could not be forced to testify because you have a right or privilege against self-incrimination. What the right or privilege against self-incrimination means is that you cannot be required to say anything out of your own mouth that makes you appear quilty.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Therefore, even if you are quilty, you are not required to plead guilty. You can remain silent and force the government to attempt to prove each and every element of the crime charged. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If the government could not prove each and every element of the crime charged beyond a reasonable doubt, the jury would have a duty to find you not guilty. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Again even if you are guilty, you are not required to plead guilty. Do you understand?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you do plead guilty, I'll have to ask you what you did that makes you guilty of the crime charged in Count 3. When you answer those questions, you'll be saying things out of your own mouth that make you appear guilty, thereby giving up your right against self-incrimination.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Let's talk about the sentencing process.

If I accept your plea of guilty, you'll meet with the Probation Department, and they'll prepare a probation or presentence report. That report will have information about you and the crime that you're alleged to have committed.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: That report will also have the Probation

Department's sentencing guideline calculation. Have you and

your attorney discussed the sentencing guidelines and how they

might apply to your case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, we have.

THE COURT: The sentencing guidelines are advisory.

What that means is although I am required to determine the guideline range that applies to your case, once I make that determination, I am not required to sentence you within that range. Do you understand?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: I will determine the guideline range and I will determine the sentence which may be within or outside of the guideline range. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If the guideline range that I determine is different than what you were hoping for, that will not be a ground for you to take your plea back. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: If the sentence that I impose is different than what you were hoping for, that will not be a ground for you to take your plea back. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: I want to make clear, as you sit here today, there is no promise as to what your guideline range will be, nor is there a promise as to what your sentence will be.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Let's talk about your agreement with the government, Court Exhibit 1. On the last page of this document, is that your signature?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.

THE COURT: Before signing it, did you read the agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorney? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did. 3 THE COURT: Do you understand the agreement? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 5 THE COURT: Counsel for the government and the 6 defense, does this agreement contain the entirety of the 7 agreement between the parties? 8 MR. LENOW: It does, your Honor. 9 MR. HAFETZ: Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: Ms. Doyle, is that correct, is that your 11 understanding as well that this agreement constitutes the 12 entirety of the agreement between the parties? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is. 14 THE COURT: Let's talk about the statutory penalties that apply. For Count 3, the maximum term of imprisonment is 15 16 three years. Do you understand? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: You will be subject to a maximum term of 19 supervised release of one year. Do you understand? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Supervised release is like a term of 22 probation you would serve after a term of custody. You will be 23 subject to visits to a probation officer's office, drug testing 24 and other limitations on your freedom. Do you understand? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you were to violate a condition of supervised release, you could be sentenced to an additional term of custody and an additional term of supervised release without credit for time previously served in custody or on supervised release. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You will be subject to the possibility of a fine which will be based on your ability to pay it. The maximum fine is the greatest of \$250,000 or twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense or twice the gross pecuniary loss to persons other than you resulting from the offense. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: In addition to the possibility of a fine, there is a mandatory special assessment of \$100.00. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Under your agreement, you have agreed to make restitution for the amount of additional tax due and owing as a result of the filing of amended U.S. individual income tax returns. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: I want to make sure that you understand if you are not a citizen of the United States, your guilty plea and conviction make it very likely you will be deported from

24

25

the United States. Do you understand? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Have you discussed that with your 4 attorney? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Counsel, have you discussed that with your 7 client? 8 MR. HAFETZ: Yes. 9 THE COURT: You have a statutory right to appeal. 10 you cannot afford to hire an attorney to help you prosecute the 11 appeal, the court will give you an attorney for free. 12 Do you understand? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Although you have a statutory right to 15 appeal, under your agreement, you have agreed not to file a direct appeal, nor bring a collateral challenge, nor seek a 16 17 sentence modification of any sentence within or below the 18 guideline range of 6 to 12 months. Do you understand? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 20 THE COURT: Do you have any questions for me before we 21 continue? 22 THE DEFENDANT: No, I don't, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions you'd like to

ask your attorney in private before we continue?

No, I don't. THE DEFENDANT:

1 THE COURT: Ms. Doyle, are you satisfied with your legal representation up to this point? 2 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Defense counsel, are you aware of any 5 reason why Ms. Doyle should not plead guilty? 6 MR. HAFETZ: No, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Are you aware of any legal defense to the charge? 8 9 MR. HAFETZ: Well, your Honor, we reviewed the case 10 thoroughly with Ms. Doyle, and at this point, your Honor, as 11 she has stated, she is prepared to plead guilty and acknowledge 12 her guilt of the elements of this crime. 13 THE COURT: Ms. Doyle are you willing to give up your 14 rights to a trial and the other rights we have discussed? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am. THE COURT: Other than what is contained in Court 16 17 Exhibit 1, your agreement with the government, has anyone made 18 any promises to induce you to give up those rights? 19 THE DEFENDANT: No. 20 THE COURT: Has anyone made any threats or attempted 21 to force you to give up those rights? 22 THE DEFENDANT: No. 23 THE COURT: How do you plead to Count 3, quilty or not 24 quilty?

I plead guilty.

THE DEFENDANT:

25

1 THE COURT: What did you do that makes you quilty of the crime charged in Count 3? 2 3 THE DEFENDANT: In April 2010 in Manhattan, I signed a 4 Form 1040 U.S. individual income tax return for the 2009 year 5 under penalty of perjury, reporting that I had no interest in 6 or a signature or authority over a financial account in a 7 foreign country. I knew that this was an untrue statement because I did, in fact, have an interest in a financial account 8 9 in a foreign country. I knew at the time that this was wrong. 10 THE COURT: Is there any further allocution requested 11 by the government? 12 MR. LENOW: No, your Honor. 13 Is there any further allocution requested THE COURT: 14 by the defense? 15 MR. HAFETZ: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Doyle, you indicated that you knew 16 17 that the information was false when you signed the return. 18 When you signed the return, did you do so willfully 19 and knowingly? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Counsel for the government, do you wish to 22 say anything regarding materiality? 23 MR. LENOW: Your Honor, we would proffer there is a 24 tax loss specified in the agreement for that year because there

was income derived from the foreign account; and, therefore,

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the failure to disclose the foreign account, the false statement about that and disclose income from the foreign account did affect Ms. Doyle's tax liability for that tax year, which was the 2009 tax year, the form filed in 2010, so the government proffers on that basis -- and I believe there is agreement on this, as reflected in the plea agreement -- that the false statement was, in fact, material.

Perhaps the court could inquire whether the defendant and her counsel agree with what I have just stated. I think they will.

THE COURT: Ms. Doyle do you agree with what the government has just stated?

(Off-the-record discussion)

MR. HAFETZ: It was a little hard to hear.

THE DEFENDANT: It was hard to hear.

MR. HAFETZ: The courtroom has --

I will be happy to restate it. MR. LENOW:

Restate it. Maybe go ahead and just stay THE COURT: seated and talk directly to the microphone.

MR. LENOW: The government would proffer -- and this is reflected in the plea agreement itself -- that the foreign account over which Ms. Doyle had control and ownership interest, there was income and interest accruing in that foreign account. Hiding the foreign account and failure to declare that interest and income from it affected Ms. Doyle's

| IJAUDUIP

tax liability, the amount of the tax liability that is specified in the plea agreement for that one year; and, therefore, it is the government's proffer and position that the misstatement on the tax return was material because it affected a computation of Ms. Doyle's tax liability for that tax year, which is 2009. That form was filed in 2010.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Doyle do you agree with that statement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

MR. HAFETZ: It is the tax loss as stated in the plea agreement, yes.

MR. LENOW: Yes, your Honor, if I didn't make that clear, I do so now. The tax loss for that year, 2009 tax year form filed in 2010, the tax loss number is specified in the plea agreement.

THE COURT: Any further allocution requested by the government or the defense?

MR. LENOW: No, your Honor.

(Off-the-record discussion)

MR. HAFETZ: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: I find that Ms. Doyle understands the rights that she is waiving by pleading guilty. I find that there is a factual basis for the plea. I find that she is knowingly and willingly waiving those rights, and again there is a factual basis for the plea, and I will accept her plea of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We will schedule sentencing for Thursday, September 13th, at 10:00 o'clock am.

MR. HAFETZ: If we could, could we make it at a later date? I discussed this with the government, subject to your Honor's consent. We expect it will take, the plea agreement requires filing of amended returns. We want to get this done prior to the sentencing date. I am not quite sure that will be enough time. I have spoken to Mr. Lenow, and he is in agreement with the request the sentencing be put off until late October, the last week in October if possible.

THE COURT: That is fine. Do we have availability then, Tara?

THE CLERK: Yes, Tuesday, October 30th, at 1:00 o'clock.

> THE COURT: Does that date work for everyone?

Yes, your Honor. MR. LENOW:

MR. HAFETZ: That is fine.

THE COURT: We'll schedule sentencing for them.

I will ask the government provide an offense statement to Probation within three weeks. I will ask that defense schedule the presentence interview within three weeks. That doesn't mean the interview has to take place within three weeks, but within three weeks you should have an appointment set up for the interview. Anything else from the government or the defense?

MR. LENOW: No, your Honor. Thank you. 1 2 MR. HAFETZ: Do we proceed to the Probation Office 3 today or call them and work out a schedule? 4 THE COURT: I don't think they're going to be ready 5 for you today. I think you can contact them. They will 6 probably contact you, but if you haven't heard from them in 7 about a week and a half, you should reach out to them because 8 they're going to have to appoint a probation officer to conduct 9 the investigation and prepare the report. It hasn't been done 10 as of yet. I think you will be wasting your time if you walk 11 by today, but you can reach out and contact them. 12 MR. HAFETZ: We will. Thank you. 13 THE COURT: Anything else from anyone? 14 MR. LENOW: No. THE COURT: We're adjourned. 15 16 (Court adjourned) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25